# THE CALIFORNIA DEBT LIMIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

### May 23, 2007

#### **Executive Summary**

# REQUEST FOR A QUALIFIED PRIVATE ACTIVITY BOND ALLOCATION FOR A QUALIFIED RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROJECT

Prepared by Brady Hill.

**Applicant:** California Statewide Communities Development Authority

**Allocation Amount Requested:** Tax-exempt \$6,110,355

Project Name: Oak Park Senior Villas Apartments

**Project Address**: 2594 S. Willow Avenue

**Project City, County, Zip Code**: Fresno, Fresno, 93725

**Project Sponsor Information:** 

Name: OPS Villas, L.P. (Central Valley Coalition for

Affordable Housing, OPS Villas, LLC and Michael J.

Conway, Jr., an individual)

**Principals**: Alan Jenkins, Christina Alley and Renee Downum for

Central Valley Coalition for Affordable Housing and

Michael J. Conway, Jr. for OPS Villas, LLC

**Project Financing Information:** 

**Bond Counsel**: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP

**Underwriter**: CharterMac Capital

Credit Enhancement Provider: Not applicable

Private Placement Purchaser: CharterMac Capital

**TEFRA Hearing**: February 6, 2007

**Description of Proposed Project:** 

**State Ceiling Pool:** General

**Total Number of Units:** 64, plus 1 manager unit

**Type:** New Construction

**Type of Units:** Senior

**Description of Public Benefits:** 

Percent of Restricted Rental Units in the Project: 100%

31% (20 units) restricted to 50% or less of area median income households; and 69% (44 units) restricted to 60% or less of area median income households.

**Unit Mix:** 1 and 2 bedrooms

**Term of Restrictions:** 55 years

Estimated Total Developm	ent Cost	\$9 663 524
rsiiilialed Tolai Develooii	ICHL CUSL	.D7 UU 1 1/.4

**Estimated Hard Costs per Unit:** \$ 99,823 (\$6,388,700/64 units) **Estimated per Unit Cost:** \$ 150,993 (\$9,663,524/64 units) **Allocation per Unit:** \$ 95,474 (\$6,110,355/64 units)

**Allocation per Restricted Rental Unit:** \$ 95,474 (\$6,110,355/64 restricted units)

<u>Construction</u>	<u>Permanent</u>
\$6,110,355	\$1,655,379
\$ 139,407	\$2,788,143
\$3,413,762	\$4,885,000
<u>\$</u>	\$ 335,002
\$9,663,524	\$9,663,524
\$ 908,000	
\$ 750,000	
\$5,638,700	
¢ 250,000	
	\$6,110,355 \$ 139,407 \$3,413,762 \$ 0 \$9,663,524 \$ 908,000 \$ 750,000

 Architect & Engineering Fees
 \$ 250,000

 Contractor Overhead & Profit
 \$ 478,392

 Cost of Issuance
 \$ 35,000

 Capitalized Interest
 \$ 242,816

 Other Soft Costs
 \$1,360,616

 Total Uses
 \$9,663,524

### Legal Questionnaire:

The Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the application. No information was disclosed to question the financial viability or legal integrity of the Applicant.

**Total Points:** 68.9 out of 128

[See Attachment A]

#### **Recommendation:**

Staff recommends that the Committee approve \$6,110,355 in tax-exempt bond allocation.

## ATTACHMENT A

## **EVALUATION SCORING:**

	Maximum	Maximum	
	Points Allowed	Points Allowed	
Point Criteria	for Non-Mixed	for Mixed	Points Scored
	Income	Income	
	Projects	Projects	
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE	110,000	110,000	
VI Project	20	20	0
Exceeding Minimum Income Restrictions:			
Non-Mixed Income Project	35	15	35
Mixed Income Project			
J			
Gross Rents	5	5	0
Exceeding Minimum Rent Restrictions			
[Allowed if 10 pts not awarded above in	[10]	[10]	3.9
Federally Assisted At-Risk Project or HOPE			
VI Project]			
Large Family Units	5	5	0
Leveraging	10	10	10
			_
Community Revitalization Area	15	15	0
Site Amenities	10	10	0
Site Amenities	10	10	U
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Sustainable Building Methods	8	8	0
New Construction	10	10	10
Negative Points	NA	NA	0
	1.50	100	
Total Points	128	108	68.9

The criteria for which points are awarded will also be incorporated into the Resolution transferring Allocation to the Applicant as well as the appropriate bond documents and loan and finance agreements.